

DANCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Qwest

1801 California Street, Suite 4900 Denver, Colorado 80202 Phone 303 672-2859 Facsimile 303 295-7049

Kathryn Marie Kraus CEIVED

February 28, 2001

FEB 28 2001 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Portals II. Room TW-A325 Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No. 99-200 Re:

> > Workpaper 3 of Appendix A to Comments of Owest Corporation

Dear Ms. Roman Salas:

In connection with the Motion to Accept Appendix to Comments of Owest Corporation ("Qwest"), filed on February 16, 2001, enclosed for filing is a replacement version of Workpaper 3. Owest filed its Appendix as an attachment to the Comments to the Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which were filed earlier in the above-captioned proceeding on February 14, 2001.

The initial version of Workpaper 3, filed with the Motion to Accept, and entitled Number Pooling IT Costs, inadvertently contained an annotation in the footer of the document that read "CONFIDENTIAL: Disclose and distribute solely to QWEST employees with a need to know." In actuality, this was not the confidential version of Workpaper 3 (the header was clearly marked "Non-Confidential"). (The confidential version of Workpaper 3 was submitted to the Office of the Secretary under separate cover, with a request that it (and the confidential version of Workpaper 2) be withheld from public inspection pursuant to either Section 0.457(d) or Section 0.459 of the FCC's rules on February 16, 2001.)

To avoid any further confusion and as a follow-up to the telephone inquiry from Chervl Callahan of the FCC's staff, Owest requests that the attached non-confidential version of Workpaper 3 (with the footer deleted) be substituted for the non-confidential version of Workpaper 3 that was included with the Appendix when it was initially filed.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please call me.

Sincerely,

Kithigh Mance Erange Kathryn Marie Krause

Encl.

c: Certificate of Service

No. of Copies rec'd ListABCDF

WORKPAPER 3 REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION



Number Pooling IT Costs FCC Recoverable Costs - Non-Confidential Total Costs

TYPE 1 and 2 COSTS:		2000		2.0	2001 20		02 2003		3	2004		2005		2006		Total		
Project	Project Description			Expense				Expense								Expense	Capital	Total
	The purpose of this project was to investigate current																	
NP Systems Validation	"classic" US West Systems of border town issues	1 3	5.2								_	-			-	1.3	5.2	6.5
Network IBM ISCP	Disk drives for Network ISCPs.		##				-		-		-	_			-		##	##
	Costs are associated with headcount required to support the																	
	Number Pooling Program Office (Director, Project			ļ	Į.		ļ .			į.	ĺ					1		
_	Manager/Account Managers, Architects, Analyst, Program				ļ	1	ļ									1		
Management Team	Manager, PMA and Finance Manager)	458.6	1,834.€	645.5	2,582.0	322.8	1,291.0	-	-			-				1,426.9	5,707.6	7,134.5
	This project provides for TN utilization and forecasting			ł						į								
TNVU (TN Viewing Utility)	data to support the associated business processes within the							BO/ 7		2017				***			1 44 6 3	3.110.5
TIVO (TIV Viewing Onlity)	NSAC and Number Planning organizations.	9.2	236.7	208.0	1,232.0	286.7	-	286.7		286.7		286.7		286.7		1,650.8	1,468 7	3,119.5
		· ·		1		1]	Ì			Ì				1	1	1	
	This application identifies the status of TNs within the			1							1			İ	1 1			
	pooling designated blocks. Data from several Qwest			1								1		l	i i	Ī		
Develop Block Verification Tools	systems is extracted and reported for further analysis by the NSAC.	4.6	18.2	44.2	176 €					1						48.7	194 8	243.5
Severap Block Territeation Tools	the NSAC.	4.5	10.4	44.4	1700	<u>'</u>								-	1	40.7	174 8	
İ				1		1	1		1		1	1			1	1		'
	This work includes making internal development changes																	
	to handle POOL FID and EXK in all the systems including			1										ł				
	the service order processors and Billing. IT estimates that				1			1		1				-			l i	
the contract	over 30 internal systems will require changes to support the				1							ļ						
Use of Pooled Numbers	implementation of Number Pooling.	20.8	83.4	1950	880.0	219.2	-	219.2		219.2	-	219.2		219.2	-	1,311 8	963.4	2,275 1
	This provides for the POOL FID and EXK to be			1												1		
Autopopulate FID	automatically populated on all orders that contain pooled			250	1 2000		J	670.0		670.6		670.0				2 000 0	1 1100	7,600.0
Autopopulate FID	telephone numbers			950.0	3,800.0	570.0	<u> </u>	570.0	· · · · · ·	570.0	-	570.0		570.0	1	3,800 0	3,800.0	7,600.0
	Thousands-Block Number Pooling involves allocation of				i		1											1
	blocks of sequential telephone numbers within the same		ŀ		1	1								1	i I			
	NXX to different service providers and, potentially, to]]		1	1	1]]	1]]			
	different switches which serve customers within the same				1									l				
	rate area. All 10,000 numbers within each NXX continue		•	l		I	1							ŀ				
	to be assigned to one rate area, but are allocated among				1												ĺ	
	multiple service providers at the Thousands-Block (NXX-X) level. Thousands-Block Number Pooling is based on			1	1					-]			
	the LNP technology, which allows phone numbers to be	1	1	1	ì				1	1	ì	1		Ì	1	ì	Ì	
Overall Billing Impacts	ported between service providers within rate centers			1.877.	7,965.	7] .	l .	<u>.</u>			l .			1,877.5	7,965 7	9,843.2
	posted State State providers within race centers		-	1,077.	7,703.	`	—			t -	 				1	1,077.5	-	
	Additional EXK FID translations required within CRIS				1				i	1								
	systems for Network Number Pooling. Need to identify the			1	1		1		l									
	problem switches that cross NPA's. The NPA of the	1		j	}	1	1		1	1	1		}	ł	1	1	1	
	account can be used to determine whether to use the EXK			1						i						1		
Dilli 6 D Im	provided or use a new translated EXK for rating and			1														
Billing for Rating and Taxing	taxing(Eastern)			- 27.	2 108.	8		<u> </u>	-					ļ	1	27.2	108.8	136.0
															1 1			
	This testing and deployment is a coordinated test that will	l	ţ		1	1	1			Į.	1	1	1	ļ				
	run each scenario supported by Number Pooling through	1		1							ŀ			1		1		
	the systems in an end-to-end test (individual system tests			1	1			1			ŀ			1		1		
	are NOT sufficient when a large number of systems are impacted). This testing will be executed for each region.			1	1	ŀ					ļ					1		
	that must roll out NP Each region, while often using the			1											1 1			
	same systems by name are not actually the same in terms of			1	1	ı		ļ										
	how data is handled. Therefore, a full test is required.	}	1	1	1]]		}		1]	1	1		
Interoperability Testing	instance instance, a fun test is required.	39:	156.	8 77	0 308.	ol				_	-					116.2	464.8	581.0
	This includes cost to support Industry NPAC v3 0 to ASMS		†	<u> </u>	1			1		1	1	 		1				
	v4.0 test, Internal Compliance Test, monitor the links to the		1	1							1		1			i		
	NPAC, NPAC Correct and re-validate and to install and tes										1		1					
NPAC to ASMS Testing	future releases of the SOA/LSMS	1	1	- 4	4 17.	6	1	4	-	-	-			.1		4.4	17.6	22 0

Project	Project Description	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Totai
							•											
	Qwest Cost Allocation was left flat at 30.89% during the 5																	
	year period because modification of this allocation has not															l		
	been finalized. Qwest anticipates that this allocation will be reduced to approximately 28% in 2001. TN Pooling										1			ļ		1		
	Event estimates are based on Qwest's current requirement					1				1					1	1	1	
	of 2.4M TNs per year Volume is less in the earlier years													İ	1			
	because of the allowance for a six month inventory, and															1		
NPAC Development& Administration	increases as Number Pooling implementation is expanded			##		##		##		##		##	-	##	4	##		##
	4 0 of ASMS is in the only test environment we have for)		ì						1 1	1	İ	
	ASMS (a unique IBM platform). Number Pooling work										-							
	for 3.1 of ASMS cannot use that platform for testing of any key features. We only have the production environment to								i									
	test against therefore there is a need for another test					Į			1					Į	[1 .		
ASMS Dual Footprint	environment for 3.1 testing.		_	80.0	670.0		_	_					-]]	80.0	670.0	750.0
	Misrouted call error handling - Allows Qwest to									T								
	accommodate misrouted calls that are received by other																	
	networks providing the caller with an announcement that					}			1	1	1			1	} \	1		
	the dialed number has been ported and/or pooled. This new system must have the capability to synchronize data														1 1	ĺ		
	contained in CNUM, ASMS, SWITCH and the Network																	
	Element and provision that information in a trigger like								1									
	mechanization through MARCH, so that the network has		Ì			1			Ì	1	1		'	ì		i '	1	
	the intelligence to route misrouted calls. If we are unable to																1	
	have access into Telcordia systems to effectively develop a																	
	system that supports Cause Code 26, then we will ask Telcordia to develop a solution, which will more than likely		ļ			l	Į į		ļ					Į				
	increase costs																	
Cause Code 26		_		##	##	##	##	##		##	ا ا	##	_	##		##	##	##
				_						ļ								
	The current LSS system is a discontinued BellCore product.		1		1					1	1			}	1	1		
	Its basic design dates back to its predecessor, DIR/ECT.																	
	written by Bell Labs in the early 1970's LSS is a table-		ľ															
	driven system with over 30 tables used to populate and		ĺ			l								į				
	validate information going into the LSS database. The																	
	cornerstone of the LSS system is the Central Office/Exchange Table. This table provides the Numbering															1		
	Plan Area (NPA), directory scoping/DA locality, default									1				1				
	community, and various other information required by this				1		1			}				1	1	1		
	system. The basic premise of the CO/EX table is Prefix,																	
	NPA, and exchange, if you know any two items you can					:					}			ĺ				
	derive the third. Local Number Portability (LNP) and																	
	Number Pooling make this premise invalid in the current business environment by breaking the link between NPA,		1		1		ì		Ì		1		Ì	1	i i	1	1	
	NXX and Exchange. Pooled and ported listings must be							*								1		
	scoped by where the service is being provided, by Service																	
	Address, regardless of what the Telephone number is. The				Į	į	Į.		ŀ					ĺ				
LSS System work	inherent design of LSS does not allow this.			800.6	3,200						-					800.0	3,200.0	4,000.0
	To successfully support Number Pooling, changes must be						1											
	made to a variety of systems. Telcordia supplies software																	
	enhancements for a foundational set of systems that support service order flows, number assignments, provisioning and	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1							
	routing These systems can not be modified by Qwest to				i		1			1								
	support Number Pooling and therefore must be contracted													1				
TNP Package	with Telcordia		#,			4 ##			,			,,,,,		<u></u>		,,,,	##	
Telcordia Consultant	Telcordia Systems SME to support Number Pooling.	-] -	#		, ##		1	- "	-	##		##			##	##
	Develop tools and interim systems mechanization to		—	<u> </u>	† 	1	 			1	1						1,177	
	support trial Also provide resources and processes to						1							1				
State Mandate	support trial's man ual workarounds	15.	1	1,808.	<u> </u>	1	-			-	<u> </u>		-			1,823.7		1,823
Total TYPE 1 and 2 Costs		548.8	7,374.8	8,233.0	26,172.7	4,164.2	7,291.0	3,108.0	-	3,231.7	-	3,348.3	-	3,402.5		26.036.5	40,838.6	66,875.1

FCC Recoverable Costs-Non-Confidential

Project	Project Description	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Expense	Capital	Total.
TYPE 3 COSTS:																		
DID Package	Mechanized the Porting In/Out of DID ranges. This package is important so that Qwest will accurately report to the FCC required Number Categories. The cost of this enhancement is expected to be offset by efficiencies in operation.				,,,,	##		ин		##		H #P		***			##	44
Total Costs	родилия	548.8	7,374.8	8,233.0	28,172.7	4,264.2	7,291.0	3,208.0	_	3,331.7		3,448.3		3,502.5		26,536.5	42,838.6	69,375.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kelseau Powe, Jr., do hereby certify that on the 28th day of February, 2001, I have caused a hard copy of the foregoing **LETTER** to be served, via hand delivery, upon the persons/entity listed on the attached service list.

elseau Powe, Jr.

Michael K. Powell Federal Communications Commission 8th Floor Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Susan P. Ness Federal Communications Commission 8th Floor Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Dorothy T. Attwood, Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 5th Floor Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Cheryl L. Callahan
Federal Communications Commission
Suite 6-A207
Portals II
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Dennis Johnson Federal Communications Commission Suite 6-A207 Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554 Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission 8th Floor Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 8th Floor Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

L. Charles Keller Federal Communications Commission Suite 6-A207 Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Jamal Mazrui Federal Communications Commission Suite 6-A207 Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Carmel Weathers Federal Communications Commission Suite 6-B153 Portals II 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554 International Transcription Services, Inc. 1231 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

> CC99-200 Updated 02/27/2001